THE ACCURATERELOADING.COM BIG BORE FORUMS



Accuratereloading.com
The Accurate Reloading Forums
THE ACCURATE RELOADING.COM FORUMS
Refiles
Refi

Page <u>1 ... 202 203 204</u> **205** <u>206 207 208 ... 304</u>







posted 16 November 2011 18:32

BRAVO, BRAVO, BRAVO

ONCORE, ONCORE, ONCORE



Get more CEB #13s, solids, noncons and Raptors into the hands of the PHs!!!!!



boom stick One of Us



Posts: 404 | Registered: 08 May 2005 posted 16 November 2011 21:22

Awesome review and I would say endorsement

577 BME 3"500 KILL ALL 358 GREMLIN 404-375

we band of 45-70ers (Founder) Single Shot Shooters Society S.S.S.S. (Founder)

Posts: 27557 | Location: Where tech companies are trying to control you and brainwash you. | Registered: 29 April 2005

63









Of Particular interest to any of you guys from out of the country who would like to get bullets exported.

And, they are hooked up with Cutting Edge Bullets and can be ordered there online as well.

Complete instructions and information found here;

http://www.b-mriflesandcartridges.com/default.html

The New Word is "Non-Conventional", add "Conventional" to the Endangered Species List! Live Outside The Box of "Conventional Wisdom"

I do Not Own Any Part of Any Bullet Company, I am not in the Employ Of Any Bullet Company. I do not represent, own stock, nor do I receive any proceeds, or monies from ANY BULLET COMPANY. I am not in the bullet business, and have no Bullets to sell to you, nor anyone else.

Posts: 8426 | Location: South Carolina | Registered: 23 June 2008





posted 20 November 2011 16:31

If you took a look at the B&M thread, you know I am very pleased with the new load data for the 475 B&M. I could not help myself yesterday, I had to know how the terminals were going to turn out on the 420 BBW #13 NonCon, 425 North Fork CPS, and 425 North Fork Premium Soft! I had been waiting to get my velocity up to "Fighting Speed" for the 475 B&M before messing with terminals, yesterday was the day.

420 BBW #13 NonCon. As you see the penetration is a little less than the various 458s and 416s from the B&Ms, but one would expect that as we have not increased weight (50 having an effect), just diameter over the 420 BBW #13 in .458 caliber, used very successfully on several buffalo, and croc in Zim this year in the 458 B&M. While depth of penetration is some less, it's still well into "Buffalo Territory", and trauma was not any less than we have seen in the past. Massive! I intend to slap a few buffalo around with some of these next year!

I had already tested the 450 BBW #13 Solid at 2150 fps with extremely good results, so I elected not to test the solids yesterday, including the 425 North Fork FPS. Will get to them later, I already know how they are going to do anyway.



By the way, the 420 BBW #13 NonCon is a perfect match to the 450 Solid, all in the same hole at 50 yds.

Michael

http://www.b-mriflesandcartridges.com/default.html

The New Word is "Non-Conventional", add "Conventional" to the Endangered Species List! Live Outside The Box of "Conventional Wisdom"

I do Not Own Any Part of Any Bullet Company, I am not in the Employ Of Any Bullet Company. I do not represent, own stock, nor do I receive any proceeds, or monies from ANY BULLET COMPANY. I am not in the bullet business, and have no Bullets to sell to you, nor anyone else.

Posts: 8426 | Location: South Carolina | Registered: 23 June 2008

€39

Hide Pos

A little something you have not seen in awhile! Some absolutely perfect NORTH FORKS!

Here we see the 425 North Fork CPS---Expanding Cup Point, I insisted my cup points Expand some and North Fork has got it right every single time! Both in the .500s and now the .474s.

An excellent buffalo bullet!





Michael

http://www.b-mriflesandcartridges.com/default.html

The New Word is "Non-Conventional", add "Conventional" to the Endangered Species List! Live Outside The Box of "Conventional Wisdom"

I do Not Own Any Part of Any Bullet Company, I am not in the Employ Of Any Bullet Company. I do not represent, own stock, nor do I receive any proceeds, or monies from ANY BULLET COMPANY. I am not in the bullet business, and have no Bullets to sell to you, nor anyone else.

<3°

Hide Pos

And I am quite sure this will be a little unexpected from my corner, but you see, I still have a place for "Conventional Premium Bullets" both in my heart, and on my bullet shelves! And this is an excellent one that I am very happy with. Thanks North Fork! Excellent work!





Michae

http://www.b-mriflesandcartridges.com/default.htm

The New Word is "Non-Conventional", add "Conventional" to the Endangered Species List! Live Outside The Box of "Conventional Wisdom"

I do Not Own Any Part of Any Bullet Company, I am not in the Employ Of Any Bullet Company. I do not represent, own stock, nor do I receive any proceeds, or monies from ANY BULLET COMPANY. I am not in the bullet business, and have no Bullets to sell to you, nor anyone else.

Posts: 8426 | Location: South Carolina | Registered: 23 June 2008

ď



posted 20 November 2011 17:34


Michael:

Those cup point solids are really something. Do you think that lead core soft points are on the way out?

Dave DRSS Chapuis 9.3X74 Chapuis "Jungle" .375 FL Krieghoff 500/.416 NE Krieghoff 500 NE

"Git as close as y can laddie an then git ten yards closer"

"If the biggest, baddest animals on the planet are on the menu, and you'd rather pay a taxidermist than a mortician, consider the 500 NE as the last word in life insurance." Hornady Handbook of Cartridge Reloading (8th Edition).



1:12 Twist Rate
415 gr Round Nose
Muzzle Velocity 2221 fps
22 yd Impact Velocity 2132 fps
#1--13 Inches Straight-Veer Down
out at 22"--4" off course
#2--21 Inches Straight-Tumbled to
28"--4" off course



Next the 50% Meplat

1:12 Twist Rate
419 gr FN 50% Meplat
Muzzle Velocity 2205 fps
22 yd Impact Velocity 2096 fps
#1--19 Inches Straight-Tumbled to
24"--3" off course
#2--22 Inches Straight--Veer left to
29"--4" off course



1:12 Twist Rate
421 gr FN 55% Meplat
Muzzle Velocity 2204 fps
22 yd Impact Velocity 2116 fps
#1--24 Inches Straight--Veer right
to 28"--4" off course
#2--26 Inches Straight-Veer up to
29"--2" off course



60% Meplat

1:12 Twist Rate
426 gr FN 60% Meplat
Muzzle Velocity 2194 fps
22 yd Impact Velocity 2113 fps
#1--36 Inches Straight-Veer left to
44 inches-2" off course
#2--40 Inches Straight--veer right to
48 inches 1" off course



Now for my part I look at "Straight Line Penetration", that is about all I am concerned with. At what point does that bullet start to behave poorly by veering off course or tumbling? The rest is really a moot point to me. "Terminal Stability" is what we are after, or what I am chasing. I want stability so that I know I can count on that in the field, as much as allowed when other variables are introduced to As stated, a 1000 times, if it works and it successful in the test work then the better probability that it will be successful in the field.

As we work our way up the meplat ladder we see a trend starting to appear, added "Terminal Stability". Even a jump from RN to 50% made a difference, even if they overlap a bit in straight line penetration, we see the two bullets start to come closer together. The RN being unstable showing a wide difference in straight line penetration, the 50% meplat starting to show closer numbers with straight line penetration. At 55% we see this come even closer, and at 60% straight line penetration takes a huge jump in penetration depth. In addition one must look at the total amount of "Off Course Veering" from 50% to 60% meplat. At 50% and 55% we see from 2" to 4" off course at the end of penetration, most of those at 4" actually left the test medium to the sides or to the bottom. With 60% meplat size we see a significant jump in straight line penetration, and in addition at the end of that total penetration we see 1" and 2" off course! I find this significant. No doubt about a trend in my mind. But I will let you judge this for yourse!f.

Round nose verses flat nose!	
Posts: 2823 Location: NC Registered: 08 July 2006	
STOSE One of Us quote:	Hide
Originally posted by michael458: The second part of the test with the 65%, 70%, 75% and 80% meplat for caliber showed a significant gain in "Terminal Stability".	
65% Meplat	



70% Meplat

50 B&M
1:12 Twist Rate
430 gr 70% Meplat
Muzzle Velocity 2180 fps
22 yd Impact Velocity 2090 fps
#1--46 Inches Dead Straight
#2--44" Dead Straight



80% Meplat

50 B&M
1:12 Twist Rate
438 gr FN 80% Meplat
Muzzle Velocity 2170 fps
22 yd Impact Velocity 2067 fps
32-36 Inches Dead Straight

Of little doubt we achieved "Terminal Stability" with the 65% meplat for caliber. Both were found dead straight, nose forward. Straight line penetration made another increase over the 60% men to the total penetration was near identical on average. With the 60% just not being quite as stable as the 65% meplat.

At 70% meplat for caliber "Terminal Stability" and depth of penetration was basically equal with the 65% meplat. This was the only true anomaly I encountered in the test with the 1 70% that penetrated to 44 inches. All test medium has it's faults, my is not excluded. This one bullet found the binding of a magazine that I insert in the mix, one edge of the meplat was damaged somewhat, and the other edge had a clear outline of a staple across the meplat. It is my assertion that this caused the very slightly less penetration of the 1 70% meplat bullet. It did not effect straight line penetration at all, and the bullet was found nose forward and dead straight. Just lost a couple of inches over it's brother, and I believe that to be the culprit. Here again, a larger sample size would have sorted that out, yes I know, but the trend is evident regardless.

Here is one of the questions that I thought I knew the answer, but not 100% confident, now I am confident, even with such a small sample size. At 75% we see a definite reduction in total straight line penetration. Meaning, we found the meplat size where we start to limit total penetration! Terminal Stability is 100%, no veer, nothing but dead straight penetration and both bullets found nearly side by side, nose straight forward. This is the same behavior as we saw in Agent 2's bullet. In no way is this a detriment, with one exception, this is the point at which my beloved Winchesters started to balk at feeding the large meplat, catching at the bottom of the feed ramp before jumping under the extractor.

Again at 80% meplat for caliber we see yet another reduction in total penetration, which even further confirms in my mind that we can in fact limit penetration if that should be desired by using a larger meplat size. Again, 100% terminal stability, dead straight line penetration, both found nose forward.

Something that also should be pointed out, I observed (I should have actually measured) as we increased meplat size we also increased the impact trauma to target with larger wound channels and destruction of test medium. I wished I had taken the time to get actual measurements, but that is hind sight now, you will just have to trust me on this one

Well guys there it is. Agree, disagree, whatever you choose to do, that is it in a nut shell and reported exactly as I found it, no sugar coating. I think this basically confirms prior test work done on the subject, and I know for a fact that this could be repeated over and over, basic results on behavior would be the same.

Everything done with a barrel of 1:12 twist rate, which no doubt assisted the bullets of less than 65% meplat. A slower twist rate I am sure would have showed the less than 65% meplat far less stable than they are at 1:12.

Thanks to Sam for making the bullets, thanks to 465HH for his collaboration in the effort and his upcoming statistical conclusions, and all the efforts all of you put into this and the input you give. Not one of us has all the answers, but as a group we have discovered much and continue to do so! Thanks to all who push me a little bit from time to time to make the effort, otherwise I might get lazy! HEH.

And another!

Posts: 2823 | Location: NC | Registered: 08 July 2006

One of Us



Hide Po

quote:

Originally posted by 465H&H:

Originally posted by michael458:
As shooters and hunters we make many choices as we move forward. What rifle? What Cartridge? What apparel do I need for the next hunt? What Powder to use for my loads? How much velocity do I need?

However, all combined it comes down to the "BULLET" we choose that can and "sometimes" does decide whether the entire affair is either a great success, with shared smiles, back slaps, and handshakes, and most important, that Ice Cold Celebration BEER after the grand event--or a miserable, worrisome, thought provoking, failure!

Come now, really, what would you choose?





If you are a double sorta chap, what would you choose?

Michael

Michael,

I expect that your question was rhetorical and you really didn't expect an answer. But being the contrary sort of guy that you respect and love, 😂 I thought I would take a shot at it.
Here are my choices and reasons behind them.

If I was choosing a bullet for buffalo I wouldn't select either. I prefer to use a strongly constructed soft for buff in all cases. If I was hunting a trophy bull elephant or a single hippo on land esp. a Botswana bull ele, I would chose the FN solid. I would be mindful that I might have to pass up a shot where a shoot through could hit another elephant.

If I was hunting tuskless cows, tusked cows or actually any elephants in a herd situation then I would chose the RN solid. Still more than enough straight line penetration for any reasonably angled shot and a much less chance of a shoot through.

465H&H

And people still don't get it.

Posts: 2823 | Location: NC | Registered: 08 July 2006

€"

quote: Originally posted by michael458: Oh my, that was good, you have to admit it!

Speaking of "OLD" things! Sam had a Original Kynoch Load, and we gave it a whirl in the 500 Nitro!





This caliber and load was known for its penetration. See what RNs do!!

quote:

Originally posted by michael458: Last but not least, Sam had made a little 350 gr .500 caliber #13, and I just had to see it work.



50 B&M Super Short 1:12 Twist Rate 9/29/2010 350 BBW #13 Muzzle Velocity 2097 fps 22 yd Impact Velocity 2024 fps #1= 42 Inches 1" off Course #2-46 Inches Straight

I don't know, this is a cute little bugger! But the 405 gr #13 is the real hammer for the 50 B&M Super Short.

It did very well for a 350 .500 caliber bullet!

Michael

This little short fat bullet out penetrated the mighty 500 NE with a Kynoch solid.





Hate to resurrect an old thread but it looks like this plain old Hornady cup and core bullet would work just dandy in the 9.3X74R.

Dave DRSS Chapuis 9.3X74 Chapuis "Jungle" .375 FL Krieghoff 500/.416 NE Krieghoff 500 NE

"Git as close as y can laddie an then git ten yards closer"

"If the biggest, baddest animals on the planet are on the menu, and you'd rather pay a taxidermist than a mortician, consider the 500 NE as the last word in life insurance." Hornady Handbook of Cartridge Reloading (8th Edition).



Hide Post

€°

ne of Us

Sam thank you for the side-by-sides of the Kynoch vis-a-vis the BBW #13s...especially that cute little .500 350gr bullet. 😇



Jim "Life's hard; it's harder if you're stupid" John Wayne

Posts: 4954 | Location: Central Texas | Registered: 15 September 2007

€"

quote:

Originally posted by michael458:



Cappy:
They seem to do pretty well at a higher velocity too. I don't think you need tough guy bullets in the 9,3X74. Muzzle velocity is pretty low.

Dave DRSS Chapuis 9.3X74 Chapuis "Jungle" .375 FL Krieghoff 500/.416 NE Krieghoff 500 NE

"Git as close as y can laddie an then git ten yards closer"

"If the biggest, baddest animals on the planet are on the menu, and you'd rather pay a taxidermist than a mortician, consider the 500 NE as the last word in life insurance." Hornady Handbook of Cartridge Reloading (8th Edition).

Posts: 3728 | Location: Midwest | Registered: 26 November 2006

€"

posted 25 November 2011 21:35

Dave,

Yes they do. I have 500+.500 500gr FN jacketed Hornady .500 S&W bullets to use for practice in my .500 caliber rifle. I also would have no issues using them against any NA game outside the Condor zone in The "Land of Fruits and Nuts"; for this area I have 300 CEB HP Spitzers. But I also have 1000+CEB BBW #13s in .500 caliber for use where Needed or desired.



Jim "Life's hard; it's harder if you're stupid" John Wayne

Posts: 4954 | Location: Central Texas | Registered: 15 September 2007

posted 25 November 2011 21:44

€"

I'm using my iPad so having trouble getting it to do what I want...sorry for that.

While the 9,3x74 is a low velocity round, you should recheck Michael's results with the -I think it is a 250gr - CEB BBW #13 FN Solid and HP NonCon from the 9,3 B&M... Substantial penetration from both solid and NonCon...plus less barrel strain from the 4-Band bore riding bullet vis-a-vis the full groove diameter of the long shank on the Hornardy bullet.

I like the 9,3mm rounds - but I definately would use either CEB BBW #13s or NF CPS or FPS bullets for toothy and larger DG animals.

Just my personal opinion.



Jim "Life's hard; it's harder if you're stupid" John Wayne

Posts: 4954 | Location: Central Texas | Registered: 15 September 2007

♂"

I Bin Therbefor One of Us

posted 26 November 2011 03:12

From page 283 of Pierre van der Walt's African Dangerous Game Cartridges, "to my mind the day of the round nose solid has come and gone." He then goes on to say, "The new generation of large meplat solids such as the GS Custom and the North Fork have arrived. I don't use anything else any more."

I hope Pierre makes it to the DSC and has a chance to meet with CEB. I'm sure he'll expand his list one more. I don't know how to introduce him to the S & H line, but that too would be a nice addition.

On page 94 of the same book are some interesting photographs showing the stability of various bullet designs going through gelatine. The conical nose doing a "flip" and the round nose going unstable are clearly photographed. Apparently, the varying density of the target medium is a factor in the round nose's insability. So, if the round nose was lucky enough to pass through a constant density in the target, it should stay on path. That might explain why the round nose goes unstable sometimes and sometimes not. In addition, the channel left by the bullet is larger for the flat nose, except when the conical tumbles and goes who knows where.

All I've seen in the book confirms the work done here. Congradulations to one and all.

Posts: 404 | Registered: 08 May 2005 posted 26 November 2011 07:18 What is CEB's booth number at DSC?

♂" Hide Pos

IGNORE YOUR RIGHTS AND THEY'LL GO AWAY!!!

We Band of Bubbas & STC Hunting Club, The Whomper Club

Posts: 4553 | Location: Walker Co., Texas | Registered: 05 September 2003

€"

Sam

posted 27 November 2011 02:23

I'm a convert to the CEB bullets and the new design of the BBW #13's. I have three rifles in B&M calibres and have CEB projectiles in four different bore diameters. However, I pose the question to you; "How much penetration is enough?"

While hunting hippo in Zimbabwe earlier this year with my .50 B&M loaded with SSK manufactured projectiles (these were designed in conjunction with Michael and can be considered first generation solids and hollow points along the BBW #13 evolutionary tree) I had a situation that bears consideration.

After several days of searching for a decent bull out of the water, we came across a nice opportunity to take a bull close to the water's edge. This bull was standing perfectly broadside but there was a cow standing right behind him and slightly forward.

The guide and I briefly discussed the situation and I changed from the 515 grn solid I had loaded to the 470grn hollow point. First shot hit the right shoulder, went throught the top of the heart and smashed though the ribs on the left side, lodging just under the skin. The animal lurched a short distance into the water and I put another round into it to stop it from dying in the deep water. Second shot dropped it in the shallows.





Had I used the solid that I had originally had loaded, I'm sure that I would have shot both hippos (which would have been two for the price of two!). The SSK hollow point showed ample (and in this case, ideal) penetration.

From Michael's own experience and testing, solids that pass a certain distance through his test media are likely to pass through almost double that distance through animal tissue. So if I see that a projectile penetrates 68" of test media, that translates to around 120" of animal. How many land mammals out there are 10 foot wide? Even a Texas heart shot on a bull elephant requires less distance than that to reach the vitals from the rump end.

From the testing that Michael did, we saw that the larger than 70% meplats starterd to drop off in penetration, but did so with increasing trauma and tissue

We need to be objective about terminal performance as a whole and not just look at penetration depth. Straight line penetration along the bullet's entire path is vital, I agree, but what depth is best? To me, the next step in solid evolution is to determine a maximum desired penetration depth in the bullet box and to then increase meplat size of bullets that exceed this until the meplat size brings the bullet down to that penetration depth. This way, we have a solid that both penetrates to optimal level and also does as much damage as possible to that level.

Of course, this will be valid at a particular impact velocity. Different cartridges or different impact distances will vary this, and so too penetration.

The other option for higher velocity cartridges is to choose lighter solids in order to reduce penetration to desired levels while increasing velocity, trajectory and tissue damage.

The can of worms here is, what penetration depth should be considered ideal?

MeplatFS

Posts: 22 | Location: Australia | Registered: 10 October 2011

Hide Pos

€"

srose One of Us

posted 27 November 2011 03:40

MeplatFS,

Glad you like the CEBs and the SSKs both are great. You made a good choice with the copper hollow point on the hippo. Now how much penetration is too much. I want my solids to go like the enerjizer bunny ON and ONI. If in like your case you have two animals beside each other then you do what you did and switch to a expanding bullet. Thats why I like doubles all I have to do is switch triggers. Some say you don't want too much penetration and like using those tumble solids. Now you can't count on them to tumble all the time and they might zip through two also. A solid is supposed the penetrate all the way in my opinion. I want it to go through three animals if they are lined up. In most cases hunting dangerous game you have time to wait for the right shot and you should to be sure you make the right shot. This banging away at herds doesn't do it for me. Hey if you are worried about your solid shooting through your animal then use a soft nose.

Posts: 2823 | Location: NC | Registered: 08 July 2006

€"

CCMDoc One of Us



posted 27 November 2011 04:19

Hide Po

quote:

Originally posted by srose: MeplatFS,

Glad you like the CEBs and the SSKs both are great. You made a good choice with the copper hollow point on the hippo. Now how much penetration is too much. I want my solids to go like the enerjizer bunny ON and ON! If in like your case you have two animals beside each other then you do what you did and switch to a expanding bullet. Thats why I like doubles all I have to do is switch triggers. Some say you don't want too much penetration and like using those tumble solids. Now you can't count on them to tumble all the time and they might zip through two also. A solid is supposed the penetrate all the way in my opinion. I want it to go through three animals if they are lined up. In most cases hunting dangerous game you have time to wait for the right shot and you should to be sure you make the right shot. This banging away at herds doesn't do it for me. Hey if you are worried about your solld shooting through your animal then use a soft nose.

Sam

Sam,

I'm with you 100% on every point. Two weeks ago a CEB#13 solid fired at the head of an elephant as he turned away penetrated from right occiput to left temple. Side shot went right through the head.

Non-con on a leopard turned organs inside thorax into puree.

NRA Lifer; DSC Lifer; SCI member; DRSS; AR member since November 9 2003 STILL waiting for my Taksdale double or a refund

Don't Save the best for last, the smile for later or the "Thanks" for tomorow

Posts: 3448 | Location: In the Shadow of Griffin&Howe | Registered: 24 November 2007

€"

Phatmar One of Us

posted 27 November 2011 05:27

I think the overlapping targets is tailor made for the Northfork Cup point solids. But in this situation it might still have gone to far.

You handled the situation with perfection.

Give me COFFEE and nobody gets hurt

Powered by Social Strata

Page <u>1 ... 202 203 204</u> **205** <u>206 207 208 ... 304</u>

Reply 🌣 🔖

Accurate reloading.com The Accurate Reloading Forums THE ACCURATE RELOADING.COM FORUMS Refiles Big Bores Terminal Bullet Performance

Contact Us | Accuratereloading.com | Terms of Service



Visit our on-line store for AR Memorabilia